Contribution of High-Resolution Manometry in Normal Endoscopic Dysphagia
S. Lajouad, I. Serraj, M. Salihoun, N. Kabbaj

Department of Functional Explorations of the digestive system - gastroenterology hepato, University Hospital Center Ibn Sina, Rabat – Morocco

DOI: 10.21276/sjmps.2019.5.7.15 | Received: 15.07.2019 | Accepted: 24.07.2019 | Published: 30.07.2019

*Corresponding author: Sanae Lajouad

Abstract

Introduction: Dysphagia is a common reason for gastroenterology consultation. Esophageal manometry is recommended for the exploration of dysphagia, when gastroscopy is normal and esophageal biopsies are negative. The advent of high-resolution manometry (HRM) has led to the development of a new classification of esophageal motor disorders. The goal of this study is to evaluate the frequency and identify the type of esophageal motor disorders in dysphagic patients with normal upper digestive endoscopy. Materials and Methods: 141 patients with dysphagia with normal upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, negative esophageal biopsies and HRM were included. Esophageal motor disorders have been identified according to the Chicago classification. Results: HRM was pathological in 87 cases (61.7%). It revealed achalasia in 74 patients (52.5%). It was a type I achalasia in 23 patients (16.3%), type II in 44 patients (31.2%) type III in 7 cases (5%). It showed motor disorders that could correspond to scleroderma in 6 patients (4.2%), obstruction of the oesogastric junction in 4 cases (2.8%), and a jackhammer oesophagus in 3 cases (2.1%). HRM was normal in 54 patients (38.3%). Conclusion: The HRM of the esophagus represents an undeniable progress in the exploration of the motor system of the esophagus, mainly dysphagia with normal endoscopy. Achalasia, which can now be classified into 3 types according to HRM results, remains the most frequently found primary motor disorder.
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The results of HRM were as follows: achalasia in 74 patients (52.5%) scleroderma in 6 patients (4.2%), obstruction of the esophageal junction in 4 cases (2.8%), esophagus hammer-dryer in 3 cases (2.1%) (Figure 2).

It was type I achalasia in 23 patients (16.3%), type II in 44 patients (31.2%) type III in 7 cases (5%) (Figure 3).
DISCUSSION

High resolution manometry (HRM) differs from conventional manometry by two innovations: the increase in the number of pressure measurement points and a topographical representation of pressure variations. The increase in the number of pressure sensors and the small space between two sensors (less than 2 cm) allow a more precise analysis of motor skills, especially in the sphincters. Thus, the functional anatomy of the sphincters and the different segments of the digestive tract can be studied [1].

A Tunisian study by Mahmoudi et al. was comparable to our study, involving 450 patients with the objective of determining the frequency and type of esophageal motor disorders in patients with non-obstructive dysphagia (NOD); high-resolution esophageal manometry was normal in 42.4% [2] and in our study was 38.3% of cases.

In our study, 61.7% of our NOD patients had esophageal motility disorders, achalasia being the most common diagnosis in our series. Our results are consistent with those of an earlier study by Burgess et al., which also indicated that achalasia was the most common diagnosis in their cohort of NDD patients, while 9.8% of patients had normal manometry [3], the same results are described in a Taiwanese study by Chia-Chu Yeh et al. conducted on 120 NDD patients; 80% had disorders of oesophageal motility, achalasia being the most frequent diagnosis [4].

Similarly, Abbas et al. reported that NOD patients most often had achalasia (65.4%) [5]. Based on these results and current data, achalasia is the most common disorder in NOD patients and should always be considered in the initial differential diagnosis. Achalasia can be subdivided into three subtypes, with type II having the most favourable results [6]. The ratio of achalasia subtypes in this study was similar to that reported by Crespin et al. with Type II being the most common [7] as in our study.

According to the study by Mahmoudi et al., the main motor abnormalities were achalasia found in 49% of cases, followed by sclerodermic esophagus in 17% of cases [2], in our study it was comparable, with achalasia and the main motor disorder found in 52.5% of cases followed by sclerodermic esophagus in 4.2% of cases.

The results of our study are consistent with those in the literature. Indeed, during non-obstructive dysphagia, achalasia is the most common motor disorder.

CONCLUSION

The HRM of the esophagus represents an incontestable progress in the exploration of the motricity system of the esophagus, mainly dysphagia with normal endoscopy. Achalasia, which can now be classified to 3 types according to HRM results, remains the most frequently found primary motor disorder.
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