OPEN ACCESS

Scholars International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch Int J Obstet Gynec ISSN 2616-8235 (Print) |ISSN 2617-3492 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: <u>http://saudijournals.com/sijog/</u>

Original Research Article

Role of Diagnostic Hysterolaparoscopy in Evaluation of Female Infertility- A Retrospective Study

Dr. Sherin Samsudeen^{*,} M.B.B.S, M.D (OG)^{1,} Dr. N. Saravana Kumar, M.B.B.S, M.D (OG)²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Annapoorana Medical College and Hospital, Salem - Kochi Highway, Kombadipatty, Salem, Tamil Nadu 636308

²Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Annapoorana Medical College and Hospital, Salem - Kochi Highway, Kombadipatty, Salem, Tamil Nadu 636308

*Corresponding author: Dr. Sherin Samsudeen DOI:10.21276/sijog.2019.2.3.7

| **Received:** 16.03.2019 | **Accepted:** 27.03.2019 | **Published:** 30.03.2019

Abstract

Infertility affects about 10-15% of reproductive age couples globally. WHO estimates 60-80 million couples worldwide. WHO estimates the overall prevalence of infertility to be between 3.9 to 16.8% (WHO estimate 2004) [2]. Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy is an effective modality for evaluation of tubal and peritoneal pathologies, endometriois, pelvic adhesions and intra uterine pathologies, which are not identified with other imaging modalities and routine pelvic examinations. Tubal patency is evaluated simultaneously by direct visualization of spillage of dye through the tubes. This retrospective study is undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in infertility workup. Results were analyzed and the abnormal pathologies were categorized. Safety and advantages of Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy procedure was observed.

Keywords: Infertility, hysteroscopy, primary infertility, secondary infertility, poly cystic ovaries, tubal block.

Copyright @ **2019**: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Infertility is defined as inability to conceive after one year of unprotected intercourse. This condition is further classified as primary infertility in which no previous pregnancies have occurred and secondary infertility, in which a prior pregnancy has occurred. The main causes of infertility are male factor and female factors. Female factor includes Ovulatory factor 30-40% of cases, tubal factor 25-35% of cases, uterine factors 15% of cases, pelvic factor which includes endometriosis and adhesions 10% of cases [1].

Infertility affects about 10-15% of reproductive age couples globally. WHO estimates 60-80 million couples worldwide. WHO estimates the overall prevalence of infertility to be between 3.9 to 16.8% [2]. Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy is an effective modality for evaluation of tubal and peritoneal pathologies, endometriois, pelvic adhesions and intra uterine pathologies, which are not identified with other imaging modalities and routine pelvic examinations. Tubal patency is evaluated simultaneously by direct visualization of spillage of dye through the tubes. In a study by Sandeep et al., [3]. Tubal pathology (43.2%) and pelvic adhesions (40%) were the most common causes [3]. Endometriosis and adnexal adhesions are the common pathologies in a study by Shilpa Bhandari et al., [4]. V. Nandhini et al., study detected tubal factor (34%), uterine factors (18%) and ovarian factor (40%)in laparoscopic evaluation of infertility [5]. 48.38% of patients had laparoscopic evidence of endometriosis in a retrospective study conducted by Vineet Mishra et al., [6]. Jasmina begam et al., study (s4.4%) and uterine pathology (4.4%) in infertile patients [7]. In a retrospective study of 132 patients by Erhong Zhang et Detected endometriosis al., [8]. and pelvic inflammatory disease as the most common laparoscopic findings. Demand for infertility services has increased in recent years because of delayed childbearing, increase in tubal factor infertility and endometriosis. increase in effectiveness of ART techniques. Infertility should be evaluated properly to help the couple achieve a successful pregnancy. Evaluation includes history and physical examination, semen analysis, assessment of ovarian reserve, tests for ovulation, and test for tubal patency. Laparoscopy remains the gold standard for evaluation of structural abnormalities and determination of peritoneal abnormalities and it should be combined with hysteroscopy for evaluation of uterine cavity. This retrospective study is undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in infertility workup.

© 2019 | Published by Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

- To study the effectiveness of Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in evaluation of Female infertility.
- To evaluate the pathologies observed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a Retrospective study conducted in Annapoorana Medical College and Hospital, Salem and Malar Maternity hospital, Salem based on the clinical records of infertile patients who has undergone Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy combined with chromopertubation from January 2015 to November 2018.

Sample size: 200

Inclusion Criteria

- Both primary and secondary infertility cases
- Age-20 -40 years

- Infertility for more than three years,
- Regular cycles,
- Normal hormonal assay including thyroid profile ,serum prolactin, FSH,LH
- Normal seminal fluid analysis of husband

Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy was done in postmenstrual phase.

Results were analyzed and the abnormal pathologies were categorized. Safety and advantages of Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy procedure was observed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study included 200 infertility cases of which 60.5% had primary infertility and 39.5% had secondary infertility (Table-1). In Chanu *et al.*, Study the incidence of primary infertility was 58.28% and secondary infertility was 41.72% [9].

| Table-1: Analysi | s of cases based | on type of infertility |
|------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Table-1. Analysi | s of cases based | on type of micrunity |

| Infertility Type | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|------------------|--------------|------------|
| Primary | 121 | 60.5% |
| Secondary | 79 | 39.5% |

The cases were distributed according to age. Of the primary infertility cases 29.75% were seen age group of 20-25 years, 37.19% were seen in 26-30 years, 23.14% seen in 31-35 years and 9.92% in 36-40 years of age group (Table-2). Dawle *et al.*, study showed

maximum cases of infertility (40%) in the age group of 21-25 years followed by (35%) cases of infertility in the age group of 26-30 years. Mean age of women was 24.6 years [10].

Table-2: Distribution of Primary infertility cases according to age

| Age in years | Number of cases | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| 20-25 | 36 | 29.75 |
| 26-30 | 45 | 37.19 |
| 31-35 | 28 | 23.14 |
| 36-40 | 12 | 9.92 |

Of the secondary infertility cases, majority 27.84 % were in the age group of 26-30 years, 16.46% in 20-25 years, 32.92% in age group of 31-35 years and 22.78 % in 36-40 years (Table-3). The patients in

secondary infertility group were slightly elder compared to primary group in Prasanta *et al.*, study [11].

| Table-3: Distribution | of Secondary | [,] infertility | cases accor | ding to ag | ;e |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|----|
| | | | | | |

| Age in years | Number of cases | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|
| 20-25 | 13 | 16.46 |
| 26-30 | 22 | 27.84 |
| 31-35 | 26 | 32.92 |
| 36-40 | 18 | 22.78 |

Majority of cases had normal laparoscopic findings in both primary and secondary infertility group. Polycystic ovaries (18.18%), Tubal pathology (14.8%), endometriosis (11.57%) were the common findings in primary infertility group (Table-4). In secondary infertility group endometriosis was the common finding (12.65%) was the common finding followed by tubal pathology and polycystic ovary (12.65%) both. Fibroids were seen in 10.7% of cases of primary infertility and 12.65% of cases of secondary infertility. Shoba et al. study showed polycystic ovaries (18.99%) as common finding followed by fibroids (10.13%) and endometriosis (6.33%) in primary infertility and normal laparoscopy findings in 40.51% and 42.865 of cases of primary and secondary infertility respectively [12]. Sunita *et al.*, detected PCOS in 22%

of cases [13]. Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) was seen in 7% of cases both in primary and secondary infertility. Erhong *et al.*, showed a higher incidence of PID in 59.5% of cases [8]. Normal laparoscopy findings were seen in 25.6% and 30.37% cases of primary and secondary infertility. Patients with endometriosis had flimsy to dense adhesions. Grade 4 endometriosis was

seen in 3 (2.47%) cases of primary infertility. Ahmed *et al.*, study showed endometriosis in 4.76 % and 3.33% of primary and secondary infertility cases [14]. Pelvic tuberculosis one of the important causes of infertility in India is seen in 2.47% and 1.2% of primary and secondary infertility cases respectively.

| Table-4: Distribution of Primar | v and Secondary infertilit | v cases according to la | naroscony findings |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 abit-4, Distribution of I filliar | y and Secondary micruit | y cases according to la | paroscopy mungs |

| Diagnosis | Primary Infertility (121) | | Secondary InfertilitY (79) | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | No.of cases | No.of cases Percentage | | Percentage |
| PCO | 22 | 18.18 | 10 | 12.65 |
| FIBROIDS | 13 | 10.7 | 8 | 10.1 |
| TUBAL PATHOLOGY | 18 | 14.8 | 10 | 12.65 |
| ENDOMETRIOSIS | 14 | 11.57 | 12 | 15.18 |
| UTERINE ANOMALY | 11 | 9.0 | 4 | 5.06 |
| PID | 9 | 7.43 | 6 | 7.59 |
| PELVIC TUBERCULOSIS | 3 | 2.47 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Normal findings | 31 | 25.6% | 24 | 30.37 |

The present study showed 9.9 % and 10.1% of unilateral block in primary and secondary infertility cases respectively (Table-5). Bilateral block is seen in

6.6% and 5.06% of primary and secondary infertility cases respectively. Prasanta et al, study showed 10 % of unilateral and bilateral block [11].

| Та | able-5: | Tubal | block in | n chro | moper | tubation | |
|----|---------|-------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | |

| Tubal block | Primary Infertility (121) | | Secondary Infertility (79) | |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----|----------------------------|------------|
| | No. of cases Percentage | | No. of cases | Percentage |
| Bilateral | 8 | 6.6 | 4 | 5.06 |
| Unilateral | 12 | 9.9 | 8 | 10.1 |

Hysteroscopy finding were seen in 25.63% and 25.39% of primary and secondary infertility cases respectively (Table-6). Submucous fibroid was the commonest hysteroscopic abnormality noted. Uterine septum was seen in 6.6 % and 2.5% of primary and secondary infertility cases respectively. Cervical stenosis was seen in 2 % of cases. Sunita *et al.*, study showed 4.7% of endometrial polyp, 9.4% of septum, 3.12% of submucous myoma and 3.12% of synechiae in

primary infertility cases. 3 patients in secondary infertility group had retained products of conception with history of second trimester abortion [13]. Osteal block was seen in 3.35% and 2.55 % of primary and secondary infertility cases. Ahmed et al. study showed 4.76 % and 22.22% of primary and secondary infertility cases [14]. Operative hysteroscopy was done for patients with fibroids, polyps, septum and synechiae. Abnormalities were corrected.

| Table-6: Hysteroscopy Findings |
|---------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------|

| Hysteroscopy Findings | Primary Infertility (121) | | Secondary Infertility (79) | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | No. of Cases | Percentage | No. of Cases | Percentage |
| SUBMUCOUS FIBROID | 10 | 8.26 | 5 | 6.3 |
| ENDOMETRIAL POLYP | 3 | 2.57 | 2 | 2.5 |
| CERVICAL STENOSIS | 2 | 1.65 | 0 | 0 |
| UTERINE SYNECHIAE | 2 | 1.65 | 3 | 3.7 |
| UTERINE SEPTUM | 8 | 6.6 | 2 | 2.5 |
| RETAINED PRODUCTS | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3.7 |
| OSTIUM BLOCK | 4 | 3.3 | 2 | 2.5 |
| NORMAL | 90 | 74.38 | 59 | 74.61 |

None of the patient had hemorrhage or infection. Very few patients reported pain. The patients were discharged on the first postoperative day.

CONCLUSION

Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy is considered as the gold standard investigation of diagnostic

laparoscopy. Abnormalities which cannot be detected by routine investigation can be detected with hysterolaparoscopy. Considering the low complication rate, shorter duration of the procedure and hospital stay, direct visualization and simultaneous correction of abnormal findings, Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy should be considered as a definitive procedure in the infertility workup.

REFERENCES

- 1. Wolman, I. (2014). Berek and Novak's gynecology 15th edition.
- World Health Organization. (2004). Infecundity, Infertility and childishness in developing countries. DHS comparative reports No 9. Calverton, Maryland, USA; ORC Macro and the World Health Organization.
- 3. Nanaware, S. S., Saswade, M., Shende, P. N., Gaikwad, P., Mahana, S., & Kirane, A. (2016). Role of Hysterolaparoscopy in the Evaluation of Female Infertility in Tertiary Care Centre. *International journal of contemporary medical research*, 3(10).
- 4. Bhandari, S., Singh, A., Agrawal, P., & Ganguli, I. (2015). Findings in diagnostic laparoscopy in patients with unexplained infertility. *Fertility Science and Research*, 2(1), 29.
- Nandhini, V. M. (2016). Role of diagnostiv hysterolaparoscopy in primary infertility. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical sciences*, 15(8): 25-28
- Mishra, V. V., Gaddagi, R. A., Aggarwal, R., Choudhary, S., Sharma, U., & Patel, U. (2015). Prevalence; characteristics and management of endometriosis amongst infertile women: a one year retrospective study. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 9(6), QC01.
- Begum, J., Samal, S., Ghose, S., Palai, P., & Samal, R. (2015). Combined hysterolaparoscopy as an early option for initial evaluation of female infertility: a retrospective study of 135 patients. *International journal of Reprod contemporary Obstetric Gynecology*, *4*, 584-588.
- Zhang, E., Zhang, Y., Fang, L., Li, Q., & Gu, J. (2014). Combined hysterolaparoscopy for the diagnosis of female infertility: a retrospective study of 132 patients in china. *Materia sociomedica*, 26(3), 156-157.
- 9. Chanu, S. M., Pal, G. S. R., Panda, S., & Santa Singh, A. S. (2018). Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy for evaluation of infertility: Our experience in a tertiary Care Hospital. *Journal of human reproductive sciences*, 11(1), 19-23.
- Dawle, S., Bhalerao, A., Kumare, B., & Bhalerao, K. (2014). Role of hysterolaparoscopy in the evaluation of primary infertility. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, 3(20), 5496-5501.
- Nayak, P. K., Mahapatra, P. C., Mallick, J. J., Swain, S., Mitra, S., & Sahoo, J. (2013). Role of diagnostic hystero-laparoscopy in the evaluation of infertility: A retrospective study of 300 patients. *Journal of human reproductive sciences*, 6(1), 32-34.
- 12. Shobha, D., Madhu, K. N., & Amiti, A. (2014). Role of diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in

evaluation of primary and secondary infertility. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, 3(9), 2194-2208.

- 13. Mishra, S., & Sudhir, S. (2016). Diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in evaluation of female infertility in a Rural Medical College. *Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research*, 3(3), 196-198.
- 14. Ahmed, M. S. (2017). Role of diagnostic hysterolaparoscopy in evaluation of female infertility. *International journal of Reprod contemporary Obstetric Gynecology*, 6(9):4048-4051.