Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med Pharm Sci ISSN 2413-4929 (Print) | ISSN 2413-4910 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: http://scholarsmepub.com/sjmps/

Review Article

Role of 3D Printing in Orthognathic Surgery

Dr. Banavath S S R Sameer Kumar Naik, MDS^{1*}, Dr. Vedatrayi, MDS², Dr. T.V.S.SK. Bharath, MDS, OMFS³, Dr. Jacob John Plackal⁴, Dr. Kiran Shubha, MDS⁵, Dr. Shubhasri Misra⁶, Dr. Rahul Vinay Chandra Tiwari, FOGS, MDS⁷

DOI:10.21276/sjmps.2019.5.8.10

| **Received:** 15.08.2019 | **Accepted:** 24.08.2019 | **Published:** 30.08.2019

Abstract

Technological advances in three-dimensional (3D) imaging, computer-assisted surgical planning and simulation in the field of medicine are now regularly being used for analysis of craniofacial structures. It also gained ingress in prediction of surgical outcomes in orthognathic surgery. A variety of patient-specific surgical guides and devices have been designed and manufactured using 3D printing technology, which rapidly gained widespread popularity to improve the outcomes. The article presents an overview of 3D printing technology and its applications in orthognathic surgery with emphasis on treatment feasibility and patient outcome.

Keywords: Orthognathic Surgery, craniofacial structures, 3D printing technology.

Copyright @ 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

3D printing is the process in which multiple layers of material are added one by one under computer control to create three-dimensional object. The main advantage of this innovation is that the three dimensional model is sliced into many thin layers and the manufacturing equipment uses this geometric data to build each layer sequentially until final desired product is completed [1-3].

The development of 3D printed models and patient-specific guides has improved surgical planning as well as the transfer of the surgical plan into the operating room for a better surgical result. Literature shows that numerous studies have reported and investigated the clinical experiences and effectiveness of 3D printing applications for orthognathic surgery [4, 5]. The clinical applications of 3D printers in orthognathic surgery include the production of occlusal splints, osteotomy/cutting guides, repositioning guides, spacers, fixation plates/implants and 3D printed models [6].

During surgery under 3D computerized planning, errors in landmark identification, planning process itself, and segment positioning during the fixation can undermine the precision of the surgery, since the intraoperative maxillary position greatly

depends on the intermediate splint fabricated from the model surgery. Hence, this step is important for accurate maxillary positioning in conventional orthognathic surgery. Face-bow transfer, mounting of a dental cast onto the articulator, and the model surgery procedure are potential sources of random errors. Recent application of computer-assisted 3D virtual model surgery and 3D-printed intermediate occlusal splint is a useful method but not completely; nevertheless, it is not free from random error. Many studies have shown the advantages of virtual 3D surgical planning and application of computer-aided surgical procedure.

This comprehensive review throws light on the latest advances in the applications of 3D printing technology in orthognathic surgery, and provides insight pertaining to the implementation of the 3D printing for enhancing the treatment outcomes.

Occlusal Splints

Conventionally, the facbrication of an occlusal splint involves the use of plaster models, face-bow and articulator. The drawbacks of the conventional method are that it is time-consuming in addition to having non-controllable errors.⁶ Compared to the conventional method, the digital-based occlusal splint provides high

¹Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, SVS Best Care Dental Clinic, Nizamabad, India

²Consultant Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon, T. Nagar Chennai, India

³Consultant oral and maxillofacial surgeon, Vijayawada Andra Pradesh, India

⁴Consultant Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeon, Believers Church Medical Centre, Konni, Pathanamthitta, Kerala, India

⁵Consultant oral and maxillofacial surgery, Jalandhar, Punjab, India

⁶Consultant Dental Surgeon, Life Medical, Life Care Smile 32, Malda, West Bengal, India

⁷Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sri Sai College of Dental Surgery, Vikarabad, India

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Banavath S S R Sameer Kumar Naik

accuracy, reliability and consistency, as well as improved quantitative control and efficiency.

Schouman et al., proposed a cadaveric study to evaluate the accuracy of CAD/CAM generated maxillary cutting guides and intermediate splints in orthognathic surgery [7]. Zhou et al., also developed a CAD/CAM intermediate splint for positioning [8]. Ying et al., reported a prospective study to investigate the efficacy of combined guiding templates and splints fabricated by rapid prototyping technique for the correction of facial asymmetry associated with vertical maxillary excess and mandibular prognathism [9]. Shaheen et al., presented and validated a protocol for the design and 3D printing of final digital occlusal splints based on 3D virtual surgery planning. They reported that facial asymmetry was improved in all patients with satisfactory outcome, and the protocol had an acceptable clinical error margin; but also indicated that the technology could be future improved [10].

Osteotomy Guides and Repositioning Guides

Osteotomy guides are used to ensure that the osteotomy is placed exactly as in the digital planning, so that the repositioning guide can exactly place the bone segment in the desired position. Numerous studies have introduced the use of surgical guides for condylar position control and inferior alveolar nerve injury prevention during BSSO [11, 12]. They provided a reliable, innovative, and precise approach for translation of the virtual plan to the operating theater. The linear and angular differences between the virtual and postoperative image models were clinically acceptable. Numerous studies have evaluated the use of 3D printed repositioning guides as an alternative approach to intermediate surgical wafers transferring virtual surgical plans into the intraoperative setting. They developed an easy-to-use CAD/CAM cutting guide and titanium fixation plate combination to facilitate the intraoperative reproduction of the surgical plan [13, 14]. Brunso et al., used bone-supported guides and computer designed titanium miniplates to provide position control with considerable surgical accuracy [15]. The novel method provided adequate vertical control and condyle positioning with surgical accuracy.

Spacers

In order to achieve facial symmetry and harmony, the spaces that occur following the LeFort I osteotomy for lengthening the vertical dimension of the maxilla and in BSSO after the rotation or shifting of the mandible in asymmetry cases and in genioplasty for vertical lengthening procedures should be maintained as planned plate and screw during fixation Dumrongwongsiri et al. used different kinds of customized 3D-printed "spacers" to facilitate and stabilize the planned maxillary and mandibular segment position. These could fit easily in the desired position

during the procedure and easily removed after rigid fixation of bone segments. 16

Fixation plates/implants

Philippe et al. advocated the production of the titanium miniplates/guides utilizing a direct metal laser sintering technology [17]. Compared with the sintering technique, layer-by-layer construction is potentially less expensive and faster; on the other hand, it may result in lower rigidity and carries a higher risk of contamination. Huang *et al.*, developed an optimal biomechanical miniplate using a 5-axes milling machine. When fixed onto the freed separate maxillary segments of a rapid prototyping model, the plates provided precise positioning/fixation and presented adequate strength/stability in the LeFort I osteotomy [18].

Advantages

Conventional standalone subtractive manufacturing techniques such as milling create high wastage by their very nature. This can be minimized by utilizing these techniques in adjunction with additive manufacturing. Among all the available digital processing methodologies used for this purpose, 3D printing clearly has an edge. Its higher efficiency, passivity, flexibility and superior material utilization earn it its distinction [9]. They provide the possibility of high quality restorations with quick and easy fabrication. The quality of these restorations has been demonstrated by several studies, although cost is still a major issue [10].

Disadvantages

The benefits from high material utilization might in some cases diminish when compared to the drawbacks due to its extended postprocessing duration. Other shortcomings include its high cost, the occurrence of layered deposition, inconsistent reproduction and requirement of support materials. Ceramics, one of the most popular materials used in dentistry lacks the ability to be 3D printed due to the high porosity caused during fabrication [19].

The disadvantage of stereolithography and digital light processing is that they are available only with lightcurable liquid polymers and the support materials must be removed. Also, resin is messy and can cause skin irritation, and it could also cause inflammation by contact and inhalation. Also, they present a limited shelf and vat life and cannot be heat-sterilized, while being a high-cost technology. The disadvantage of selective laser melting is that it is an extremely costly technology and a slow process [20].

CONCLUSION

This extensive review discloses that various patient-specific 3D-printed surgical devices are progressively being used in orthognathic surgery. It can be concluded that this innovation is definetly beneficial

to the clinicians as well as the patients. Superior kinds of materials with varying characteristics and capabilities of 3D printing technology are being explored. There are still many potential applications for future research and clinical application in orthognathic surgery.

REFERENCES

- Dawood, A., Marti, B. M., Sauret-Jackson, V., & Darwood, A. (2015). 3D printing in dentistry. *British dental journal*, 219(11), 521-525.
- 2. Liu, Q., Leu, M. C., & Schmitt, S. M. (2006). Rapid prototyping in dentistry: technology and application. *The international journal of advanced manufacturing technology*, 29(3-4), 317-335.
- 3. Jain, R., Supriya, B. S., & Gupta, K. (2016). Recent trends of 3-D printing in dentistry—a review. *Ann Prosthodont Rest Dent*, 2(1), 101-104.
- Sun, Y., Luebbers, H. T., Agbaje, J. O., Schepers, S., Vrielinck, L., Lambrichts, I., & Politis, C. (2013). Accuracy of upper jaw positioning with intermediate splint fabrication after virtual planning in bimaxillary orthognathic surgery. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 24(6), 1871-1876.
- Stokbro, K., Aagaard, E., Torkov, P., Bell, R. B., & Thygesen, T. (2016). Surgical accuracy of three-dimensional virtual planning: a pilot study of bimaxillary orthognathic procedures including maxillary segmentation. *International journal of* oral and maxillofacial surgery, 45(1), 8-18.
- 6. Lin, H. H., Lonic, D., & Lo, L. J. (2018). 3D printing in orthognathic surgery— A literature review. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*, 117(7), 547-558.
- 7. Schouman, T., Rouch, P., Imholz, B., Fasel, J., Courvoisier, D., & Scolozzi, P. (2015). Accuracy evaluation of CAD/CAM generated splints in orthognathic surgery: a cadaveric study. *Head & face medicine*, *11*(1), 24.
- 8. Zhou, Y., Xu, R., Ye, N., Long, H., Yang, X., & Lai, W. (2015). The accuracy of computer-aided simulation system protocol for positioning the maxilla with a intermediate splint in orthognathic surgery. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 44, e316-e317.
- 9. Ying, B., Ye, N., Jiang, Y., Liu, Y., Hu, J., & Zhu, S. (2015). Correction of facial asymmetry associated with vertical maxillary excess and mandibular prognathism by combined orthognathic surgery and guiding templates and splints fabricated by rapid prototyping technique. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*, 44(11), 1330-1336.
- 10. Shaheen, E., Sun, Y., Jacobs, R., & Politis, C. (2017). Three-dimensional printed final occlusal splint for orthognathic surgery: design and

- validation. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*, 46(1), 67-71.
- 11. Zinser, M. J., Sailer, H. F., Ritter, L., Braumann, B., Maegele, M., & Zöller, J. E. (2013). A paradigm shift in orthognathic surgery? A comparison of navigation, computer-aided designed/computer-aided manufactured splints, and "classic" intermaxillary splints to surgical transfer of virtual orthognathic planning. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery*, 71(12), 2151-e1.
- 12. Polley, J. W., & Figueroa, A. A. (2013). Orthognathic positioning system: intraoperative system to transfer virtual surgical plan to operating field during orthognathic surgery. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 71(5), 911-920.
- 13. Suojanen, J., Leikola, J., & Stoor, P. (2016). The use of patient-specific implants in orthognathic surgery: a series of 32 maxillary osteotomy patients. *Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery*, 44(12), 1913-1916.
- 14. Kang, S. H., Lee, J. W., Lim, S. H., Kim, Y. H., & Kim, M. K. (2014). Validation of mandibular genioplasty using a stereolithographic surgical guide: in vitro comparison with a manual measurement method based on preoperative surgical simulation. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 72(10), 2032-2042.
- Brunso, J., Franco, M., Constantinescu, T., Barbier, L., Santamaría, J. A., & Alvarez, J. (2016). Custom-machined miniplates and bonesupported guides for orthognathic surgery: A new surgical procedure. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 74(5), 1061-e1.
- 16. Dumrongwongsiri, S, & Lo, L. J. (2016). Maximizing the aesthetic outcome: The CAD/CAM customized 3D printed spacers in orthognathic surgery. In: The 11th biennial Congress of the Asian Pacific Craniofacial Association, Japan.
- 17. Philippe, B. (2015). Computer designed guides and miniplates in orthognathic surgery: a description of the planning and surgical technique. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 44, e123.
- 18. Huang, S. F., Lo, L. J., & Lin, C. L. (2016). Biomechanical optimization of a custom-made positioning and fixing bone plate for Le Fort I osteotomy by finite element analysis. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 68, 49-56.
- 19. Prasad, S., Kader, N. A., Sujatha, G., Raj, T., & Patil, S. (2018). 3D printing in dentistry. Journal 3D Print Med, 2(3), 89-91.
- Zaharia, C., Gabor, A. G., Gavrilovici, A., Stan, A. T., Idorasi, L., Sinescu, C., & Negruțiu, M. L. (2017). Digital dentistry—3D printing applications. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Medicine*, 2(1), 50-53.