

Influence of Headship of the Clinical Chemistry Laboratory on the Outcome of Purification of Reagent Water in Lagos Mainland, Lagos Nigeria

Isuajah Chukwuka Emmanuel^{1*}, Azinge Elaine C², Nkwo Emeka Chinedu³, Isuajah Chiamaka Consolator⁴

¹Senior Registrar, Department of Clinical Pathology and Metabolic Medicine, Lagos University Teaching hospital, P.M.B 12003, Lagos, Nigeria

²Fellow West African College of Physicians (Laboratory Medicine), Fellow Medical College Pathologists, Professor and Head, Department of Clinical Pathology and Metabolic Medicine, Lagos University Teaching hospital, P.M.B 12003, Lagos, Nigeria

³Fellow West African College of Surgeons, Fellow Medical College Surgeons, Chief Consultant, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Federal Medical Centre Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria

⁴Medical Officer, Department of Radiology, Federal Medical Centre Ebuttemeta, Lagos, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: Isuajah Chukwuka Emmanuel | Received: 15.01.2019 | Accepted: 26.01.2019 | Published: 30.01.2019

DOI: [10.21276/sjpm.2019.4.1.10](https://doi.org/10.21276/sjpm.2019.4.1.10)

Abstract

Distilled water is not supposed to contain $> 5\mu\text{g}$ of chlorides and if it does, distillation is unsuccessful, and a repeat is needed. The quantification of chlorides post purification of reagent grade water though serves to illustrate the success of purification, has also been used in this study to illustrate nearness to success. A total of 50 registered Clinical Chemistry Laboratories in Lagos Mainland of Lagos Nigeria were recruited in this cross sectional study, their reagent grade water sampled and tested for chlorides using spectrophotometric technique. A questionnaire was also administered to ascertain the Laboratories' headship. In this study, significant amount of chloride was detected in all reagent water tested with concentration range of $0.055\text{mg/L} - 38.760\text{mg/L}$. Comparing the pathologists-headed laboratories with Scientists-headed laboratories shows that there is no statistically significant difference in the outcome of water purification at 95% confidence interval, $p = 0.05$, calculated $t = 0.499$, and critical value = 2.000. There is no significant influence on the outcome of reagent water purification by the headship of Clinical Chemistry laboratories.

Keywords: Influence, headship, Laboratory, Chloride, purification, reagent water.

Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and sources are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Purified water constitutes the major component of many reagents, buffers, and diluents used in clinical laboratory testing. It can also become an indirect component of tests when it is used for washing and sanitizing instruments and laboratory ware, generating autoclave steam, etc. Purified water is a potential cause of laboratory error [1].

Evidence of errors introduced by poor grade water in clinical laboratories with particular reference to Clinical Chemistry Laboratory abound. Out of all impurities, the role of Chlorides has been documented for clinical laboratories as source of error [2].

On distillation, chlorine is volatilized and both chlorine and hypochlorous acid could appear in the distillate in appreciable quantities. In earlier studies, Chloride determinations by direct precipitation with mercuric nitrate were not affected by the small amount of chloride present in the chlorine contaminated water [3].

Distilled water is not supposed to contain $> 5\mu\text{g}$ of chlorides and if it does, distillation is unsuccessful, and a repeat is needed.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) instructs that after preparation of distilled water, 1ml of 1.7% solution of silver nitrate should be added to 10ml of the distillate with addition of 2 drops of Nitric acid to check for the absence of chloride compounds (e.g. calcium chloride). The water should remain perfectly clear. If a slight whitish turbidity appears, the distillation process should be repeated [4].

The above instruction by WHO is the basis of this study, which enquires into the compliance with this and other similar standards by Clinical Chemistry Laboratories in our locality.

Water is the most frequently used reagent in the laboratory. Because tap water is unsuitable for laboratory applications, most procedures, including

reagent and standard preparation, use water that has been substantially purified [5].

Preparation of many reagents and solutions used in the clinical laboratory requires “pure” water. Single-distilled water fails to meet the specifications for Clinical Laboratory Reagent Water (CLRW) established by the Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute (CLSI) [6].

Distillation, ion exchange, reverse osmosis, and ultraviolet oxidation are processes used to prepare reagent grade water. In practice, water is filtered before any of these processes are used [5]. A long-held convention for categorizing water purity was based on three types, I through III, with type I water having the most stringent requirements and generally suitable for routine laboratory use [7].

Traditionally, type II water was acceptable for most analytic requirements, including reagent, quality control, and standard preparation, while type I water was used for test methods requiring minimum interference, such as trace metal, iron, and enzyme analyses [7].

A number of application specific clinical laboratory methods require Type II Reagent Grade Water. The minimum quality for Reagent Grade Water (RGW) is indicated in the actual published test methods. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (formerly NCCLS) now govern these methods. Type II ASTM Reagent Grade Water quality exceeds the previously published NCCLS Type II laboratory water specification. Most laboratory environmental chambers, autoclaves, dishwashers, and humidifiers recommend the use of Type II RGW. Type II water does not contain minerals that will form scale in heating equipment or on glassware and should not leave residue after evaporation. Type II water is less aggressive towards wetted plumbing parts, pumps, and metal parts as compared to Type I water [8].

The distillate water must have a conductivity of less than 1.0 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ ($>1.0 \text{ M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$) at 25°C to meet Type II reagent grade water requirements. In addition, the distillate water must have a maximum TOC $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ of 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$, maximum sodium of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$, maximum chloride of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$, and maximum total silica of 3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$. Heterotrophic Bacteria Count (HBC) cfu/mL and bacterial endotoxin EU/mL level requirements vary upon Type II Grade requirements [7].

The implication is that the presence of $> 5 \mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ of chloride in laboratory reagent water disqualifies such water as a Type II RGW.

In reality, the laboratory reagent grade water system is the most important “instrument” in the lab. Reagent grade water quality will affect the precision

and accuracy of every other instrument or test performed in the lab [2].

The Medical Laboratory Science Council of Nigeria (MLSCN), also in her guidelines for setting up secondary medical laboratories wherein bilirubin and other analytes affected by chlorides in RGW can be assayed, recommended distilled water for secondary medical laboratories [9].

However, there is no recommendation on the criteria such distilled water must meet as obtained in that of The American Society for Testing and Materials, known as ASTM International, which is a voluntary standards organization who publishes standards and specifications of quality for a multitude of materials as stated above. The MLSCN did not state the approach to ensuring reagent water grade used by clinical laboratories nor steps towards ensuring adherence at the long run but stipulates the sighting of Water Distiller as a criterion for accreditation of secondary clinical laboratories. Efforts at getting the guidelines of Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria on establishment of clinical laboratories in Nigeria, if any exists, proved abortive. It then follows that there are no stringent laws on Reagent Grade Water for Clinical Laboratories in Nigeria, leading to non-existence of unified terms of quality in Laboratory RGW.

From the above, it is obvious that lack of proper emphasis on RGW is tantamount to lack of full emphasis on quality assurance by these regulatory agencies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 50 laboratories were included in the study.

Sampling method

Systematic using alphabetical order of names of Laboratories included.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria included:

- A facility must be a functional Clinical Chemistry Laboratory
- A facility must be assaying bilirubin in addition to other services
- The facility must be within Lagos Mainland in Lagos State
- The facility management must give informed consent and fill Study questionnaire

Exclusion criteria

Facilities were excluded because:

- They failed the inclusion criteria as listed above
- The managers opted out of the study

Testing laboratory water for chlorides

Materials and reagents

The materials needed and used include:

- Electronic weighing scale with lower and upper detection limits accommodating 1g to 10g respectively.
- Conical flasks (Erlenmeyer flask)
- Pipettes with elongated tips
- Measuring cylinder
- Standard flasks
- Beakers
- Funnels
- Weighing pan
- Glass rod for stirring
- 2.5L Brown bottle
- Stop watch or clock
- White filter paper
- Universal sterile bottles for collection of water samples from various facilities under study
- Register and writing material
- Spectrophotometer
- Cuvettes
- Test tubes and rack
- Micropipettes (50uL, 100uL and 1000uL) and micropipette tips

The chemicals needed and used include

- Silver Nitrate (AgNO₃)
- Nitric acid (HNO₃)
- Reagent grade water from ISO 15189 certified laboratory (Pathcare South Africa)
- Commercially distilled water
- 0.9% normal saline


Sample handling and preservation

If sample is to be analysed within two hours of collection, there is no need for cooling but if beyond two hours, cool in a fridge but do not freeze sample.

Precautions to be taken

- AgNO₃ must be stored in brown amber bottle and never exposed to sunlight
- Ensure AgNO₃ does not spill on skin
- If AgNO₃ spills on skin, the lesion caused could last for 10 to 15 days
- Ensure adequate lighting during observation for cloudy precipitates
- Ensure proper protocols at preparations of 1.7% silver Nitrate

Principle of method



Silver nitrate will react and precipitate Chloride in solution with the cloudy precipitate observed as evidence of chloride in the distilled water. The Nitric acid as a donor of NO₃ drives the reaction forward and prevents reversibility.

Preparation of reagent

See appendix II

Procedure

This is a novel procedure for the detection and quantification of chloride in reagent grade water designed by this researcher based on the above recommendations by the World Health Organisation.

Spectral analysis

- 0.9% NaCl was diluted to 1:100.
- 100uL of 1.7% AgNO₃ was added to 1000uL of the diluted 0.9% NaCl (maintaining the 1:10 ratio as

directed by WHO). 100uL of 0.1HNO₃ was added to the mixture.

- The above was mixed and allowed to stand in the test tube at room temperature for 5 minutes.
- Spectral analysis was performed on the precipitate formed with 500nm discovered as the λ of maximum absorbance.

Callibrator or standard

- The 0.9% NaCl diluted to 1:100 was calculated to have 54.6mg/L of chlorine.
- 0.9% NaCl diluted to 1:100 was used as the standard in sample assay.

Controls

- Control 1 = Deionised
- Control 2 = Distilled water from ISO 15189 accredited laboratory
- Control 3 = 0.9% NaCl

Table for Assay Procedure

Test tubes	Blank	Standard	Sample
Water sample			1ml
0.9% NaCl diluted to 1:1000		1ml	
1.7% AgNO ₃		100uL	100uL
0.1HNO ₃		100uL	100uL
Mix and allow standing for 5 minutes at room temperature. Then read absorbance at 500nm. Concentration of chloride = $\frac{\text{Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Absorbance of standard}} \times 5.46\text{mg/L}$			

Interpretation of results

- A zero absorbance = Nil Chloride detected
- A positive absorbance = Chloride detected
- Quantification of Chloride = Concentration of Chloride calculated

Record all findings

Ethical approval

College of Medicine University of Lagos, Health Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

Analytical Findings cum Questionnaire Analysis

S/No	Sample concentration in mg/L	Location of Lab	Number of Pathologists	Number of scientists	Number of Lab Technologists	Headship of Lab
1	3.625	Surulere	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
2	21.998	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
3	10.243	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
4	1.496	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
5	0.180	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
6	38.760	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
7	1.911	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
8	2.271	Surulere	0	2	>3	Scientist
9	22.517	Isolo	>2	2	>3	Pathologist
10	6.219	Surulere	0	>3	0	Scientist
11	3.249	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
12	3.429	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
13	4.286	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
14	1.496	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
15	4.423	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
16	0.683	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
17	5.220	Isolo	0	>3	>3	Scientist
18	2.888	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
19	7.273	Oshodi	0	1	0	Scientist
20	3.707	Isolo	0	>3	0	Scientist
21	4.144	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
22	2.091	Ikeja	0	1	0	Scientist
23	2.708	Mushin	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
24	0.099	Ikeja	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
25	2.233	Ikeja	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
26	4.701	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
27	0.300	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
28	0.055	Surulere	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
29	19.607	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
30	6.295	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
31	0.142	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
32	0.180	Surulere	0	>3	2	Scientist
33	30.571	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
34	0.060	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
35	0.240	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
36	20.819	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
37	4.106	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
38	0.142	Isolo	0	2	0	Scientist
39	0.142	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
40	0.595	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
41	0.158	Surulere	0	1	0	Scientist
42	1.037	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
43	0.120	Surulere	0	>3	>3	Scientist
44	7.114	Isolo	0	>3	>3	Scientist
45	0.437	Oshodi	0	>3	>3	Scientist
46	0.480	Isolo	0	1	0	Scientist
47	0.240	Mushin	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
48	3.686	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist
49	0.158	Mushin	>2	>3	>3	Pathologist
50	2.250	Mushin	0	1	0	Scientist

UAP⁺ = Used as Purchased, IER⁺ = Distillation + Ion Exchange Resin, Lab = Laboratory

DISCUSSION

The presence of $> 5 \mu\text{g/L}$ of chloride in laboratory reagent water disqualifies such water as a Type II RGW [7].

Type II water is acceptable for most analytic requirements, including reagent, quality control, and standard preparation, while type I water was used for test methods requiring minimum interference, such as trace metal, iron, and enzyme analyses. Type II water does not contain minerals that will form scale in heating equipment or on glassware and should not leave residue after evaporation. Type II water is less aggressive towards wetted plumbing parts, pumps, and metal parts as compared to Type I water [8].

The above implies that the laboratory reagent grade water system is the most important "instrument" in the lab since grade water quality will affect the precision and accuracy of every other instrument or test performed in the laboratory [10].

In Nigeria the main sources of water which are bore-hole and pipe-borne are treated by heavy chlorination. Some distillation processes may also result in the inadvertent contamination of distilled water with free chlorine in most climes. One part per million of free chlorine in distilled water has been shown to inhibit markedly the colour development in the usual determinations of uric acid and bilirubin. The effect of chlorine on other clinical chemistry determinations like potassium is shown [11].

The Medical Laboratory Science Council Of Nigeria (MLSCN), also in her guidelines for setting up secondary medical laboratories wherein bilirubin and other analytes affected by chlorides in RGW can be assayed, recommended distilled water for secondary medical laboratories [9].

However, there is no recommendation on the criteria such distilled water must meet as obtained in that of The American Society for Testing and Materials, known as ASTM International, which is a voluntary standards organization who publishes standards and specifications of quality for a multitude of materials as stated above. The MLSCN did not state the approach to ensuring reagent water grade used by clinical laboratories nor steps towards ensuring adherence at the long run but stipulates the sighting of Water Distiller as a criterion for accreditation of secondary clinical laboratories. Efforts at getting the guidelines of Medical and Dental Council of Nigeria on establishment of clinical laboratories in Nigeria, if any exists, proved abortive. It then follows that there are no stringent laws on Reagent Grade Water for Clinical Laboratories in Nigeria, leading to non-existence of unified terms of quality in Laboratory RGW.

From the above, it is obvious that lack of proper emphasis on RGW is tantamount to lack of full emphasis on quality assurance by these regulatory agencies.

In this study, significant amount of chloride was detected in all reagent water tested with concentration range of $0.055\text{mg/L} - 38.760\text{mg/L}$.

Though 6% of the laboratories studied had chloride concentration of $<100\mu\text{g/L}$ in their purified water, 25% of the Laboratories overseen by Pathologists had purified water with $<100\mu\text{g/L}$. The average chloride concentration in Pathologists-headed Laboratories is 3.954mg/L as compared to the overall average concentration of 5.216mg/L . With variance of 58.211 and 68.892 respectively, and at 95% confidence interval, $p = 0.05$, Critical value = 2.000, Calculated $t = 0.429$, there is no statistically significant reduction in chloride concentration post purification in Laboratories overseen by Pathologists.

In this study only 2.38% of laboratories headed by scientists had chloride concentration of $<100\mu\text{g/L}$ in their purified water. The average chloride concentration in Scientists-headed Laboratories is 5.456mg/L as compared to the overall average concentration of 5.216mg/L . With variance of 74.810 and 68.892 respectively, and at 95% confidence interval, $p = 0.05$, Critical value = 1.98, Calculated $t = 0.135$, there is no statistically significant increase in chloride concentration post purification in Laboratories overseen by Scientists.

Also comparing the pathologists-headed laboratories with Scientists-headed laboratories shows that there is no statistically significant difference in the outcome of water purification at 95% confidence interval, $p = 0.05$, calculated $t = 0.499$, and critical value = 2.000.

These imply that unlike findings of significant influence of leadership on quality assurance by other researchers [12], there is no significant influence of leadership on quality of Laboratory water.

CONCLUSION

There is no significant influence on the outcome of reagent water purification by the headship of Clinical Chemistry laboratories. We recommend that Heads of Laboratories should pay attention to RGW preparation and utilisation, recognising its position in quality assurance and ensuring compliance with available standards and guidelines.

There is need for multiple repetitions of distillation processes especially due to very high concentrations of chloride in the initial water in our clime. Furthermore, there is need for more research in this area, especially in the developing countries. Similar

findings will definitely increase emphasis on quality of reagent water used by Clinical Laboratories, thereby contributing to overall quality system.

REFERENCES

1. Miller, W., Gregory, G., Erich, L., Dennis, W. J., Kenneth W. P., Rossi, B. V., Christine, M., & Whitehead, P. (2006). Preparation and Testing of Reagent Water in the Clinical Laboratory; Approved Guideline - 4th Edition. In C. a. L. S. Institute (Ed.), (4th ed., Vol. 22). 940 West Valley Road Suite 1400 Wayne Pennsylvania 19087 - 1898 USA: Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute C03-A4-AMD.
2. Caraway, W. T. (1958). Chlorine in distilled water as a source of laboratory error. *Clin Chem*, 4(6), 513-518.
3. Seliales, O., & Sehaes, S. S. (1941). Chloride determinations by direct titration with mercuric nitrate. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 140, 879.
4. Engbaek, K., Heuck, C. C., & Moody, A. H. (2003). *Manual of Basic Technique for a Health Laboratory* (2nd ed.).
5. Bishop, M. L., Fody, E. P., & Schoeff, L. E. (2005). *Clinical Chemistry: Principles, Procedures, Correlations*: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
6. Burtis, C. A., Ashwood, E. R., Bruns, D. E., & Tietz, N. W. (2012). *Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry and Molecular Diagnostics*: Elsevier/Saunders.
7. ASTM. (2011). An analysis of Distillation. *Vol. D. Type II Reagent Grade Water* (pp. 34). West Conshohocken, PA,: Labstrong Corporation.
8. ASTM.D1193. (2011). Standard Specification for Reagent Water. ASTM International West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.
9. The National Guideline for setting up Medical laboratories in Nigeria (2012).
10. Caraway, W. T. (1958). Chlorine in distilled water as a source of laboratory error. *Clinical chemistry*, 4(6), 513-518.
11. Marshall, W. (2012). Bilirubin (serum, plasma). In A. f. C. Biochemistry (Ed.).
12. Hirtz, P. D., Murray, S. L., & Riordan, C. A. (2007). The effects of leadership on quality. *Engineering Management Journal*, 19(1), 22-27.