

Evaluation of Knowledge and Practice of Waste Management amongst Dental Auxiliaries

Dr. Mukesh Kumar¹, Dr. Sanket Platia^{2*}, Dr. Aditi Khanna³, Dr. Sommya Kumari⁴

¹B.D.S., M.D.S., Assistant Professor and HOD, Faculty of Dentistry, Vardhman Institute of Medical Sciences, Pawapuri, Nalanda, Bihar, India

²B.D.S., M.D.S., Associate Professor, Department of Dentistry, Maharishi Markandeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Kumarhatti, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

³B.D.S., M.D.S., Private practice, India

⁴B.D.S., Post Graduate Student (M.D.S.), India

*Corresponding author: Dr. Sanket Platia

| Received: 02.02.2019 | Accepted: 11.02.2019 | Published: 18.02.2019

DOI: [10.21276/sjodr.2019.4.2.2](https://doi.org/10.21276/sjodr.2019.4.2.2)

Abstract

Introduction: Improper handling and disposal of medical waste is hazardous to waste handlers, health care workers, environment and also increases the risk of nosocomial infections. This study was planned to evaluate the practical calibration and awareness of dental auxiliaries in disposal of hazardous biomedical waste generated during dental treatment into color coded dustbins at a dental hospital. **Material and Methods:** The study comprises of 18 dental auxiliaries who was asked to dispose the simulated biological, non-biological and semibiological material according to their knowledge into the color coded dustbin. The study was planned and carried out three times (for each dental auxiliary) at different days. As each respondent was asked to dispose the waste of each category three times, thus waste was disposed for 162 times. **Results:** were expressed as a number and percentage of respondents for each question and were analyzed using the SPSS Version 10 software. Chi-square test was performed and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Results: The correct disposal of biological waste in red coded dustbin was $n=31$ (57%), non-biological waste in green coded dustbin was $n=30$ (54%), semi biological waste in yellow coded dustbin was $n=27$ (50%). Thus waste was correctly disposed 88 times (54%). **Conclusion:** Dental auxiliaries should be motivated to attend training and education programmes concerning waste management so that they will be efficient to properly segregate, disinfect and dispose hospital waste in an eco-friendly way.

Keywords: Biomedical waste, Dental waste, Hospital waste.

Copyright @ 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The health care sectors in the course of curing health problems produce a huge amount of bio-medical waste which may be hazardous to all those who come in contact with this waste. Hazardous waste management is a concern for every health care organization [1]. It may include wastes like sharps, soiled waste, disposables, anatomical waste cultures, discarded medicines, chemical wastes etc [2].

According to notification, 1998 of the Government of India it has been specified that Hospital Waste Management is part of hospital hygiene and maintenance activities. This involves management of a range of activities, which are mainly engineering functions, such as collection, transportation, operation/treatment of processing systems, and disposal of waste. However, initial segregation and storage activities are the direct responsibility of nursing personnel who are engaged in the hospital. If the

infectious component gets mixed with the general non-infectious waste, the entire mass becomes potentially infectious. 3 Dental offices generate a number of hazardous wastes that can be detrimental to the environment if not properly managed. This includes sharps, used disposable items, infectious wastes (blood-soaked cotton, gauze etc.), mercury containing waste (mercury, amalgam scrap), lead containing waste (lead foil packets, lead aprons) and chemical waste (such as spent film developers, fixers and disinfectants) [1].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the practical calibration and awareness of dental auxiliaries in disposal of hazardous biomedical waste generated during dental treatment into color coded disposing bags at private dental clinics so that depending upon their attitude they can be motivated to attend training program concerning waste management so that they will be efficient to properly segregate, disinfect and dispose hospital waste in an eco-friendly way.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted simultaneously at Patna, Bihar and Solan, Himachal Pradesh in November 2018 to December 2018. This comprised of 18 dental auxiliaries working in a private dental hospital. The study design consisted of labeled paper and color coded dustbins to simulate dental clinic generated biological, non-biological and semibiological material. The ethical committee clearance was not required, as the waste was not actual hospital generated waste, rather modeled papers with labeling. The verbal and informed consent was taken from the study group and participants were asked to fill performa regarding their qualification and experience in the field. Practical calibration test was carried out three times (for each dental auxiliary) at different days in which each dental auxiliary was observed while disposing the model waste into the color

coded dustbin which were red, green and yellow. Each study participant was asked to dispose the biological, non-biological and semibiological labeled papers according to their knowledge into the color coded dustbin. As each respondent was asked to dispose the waste of each category three times, thus waste was disposed for 162 times. Results were expressed as a number and percentage of respondents for each question and were analyzed using the SPSS Version 10 software. Chi-square test was performed and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Among a total of 18 respondents, 33% ($n=6$) were males and the rest 67% ($n=12$) were females Fig-1. About 6 were nurses, 6 were assistants and the remaining 6 were helpers Fig-2.




Fig-1: Gender Distribution




Fig-2: Category Distribution

As each respondent was asked to dispose the waste of each category three times, thus waste was disposed for 162 times. The correct disposal of biological waste in red coded dustbin was $n=31$ (57%),

non-biological waste in green coded dustbin was $n=30$ (54%), semi biological waste in yellow coded dustbin was $n=27$ (50%) Table-1.

Table-1: Evaluation of Dental Waste Disposal According To Waste Category

Waste Category	Color coded dustbins	False	True	Grand Total	Match%
Biological	Red	23	31	54	57%
Non-Biological	Green	24	30	54	56%
Semi-Biological	yellow	27	27	54	50%
Grand Total		74	88	162	

Thus waste was correctly disposed 88 times (54%). According to the employ category, assistants

disposed waste correctly 31 (57%), helpers 25 (46%) and nurses 32 (59%) times out of 54 times Table-2.

Table-2: Evaluation of Correct Disposal of Dental Waste by Dental Auxillaries

Match%				
Employee category	Biological	Non-Biological	Semi-Biological	Total n=54 (%) for each employee category
Assistants	56%	72%	44%	n=31 (57%)
Helpers	44%	39%	56%	n=25 (46%)
Nurse	72%	56%	50%	n=32(59%)

Evaluation of waste disposal on the basis of qualification showed that dental auxillaries working as helpers with 5th standard qualification, disposed waste correctly 3 times (33%) out of 9 times; 7th standard qualification, disposed waste correctly 9 times (50%) out of 18 times; 9th standard qualification, disposed waste correctly 3 times (33%) out of 9 times; Nurses with BSc and GNM qualification, disposed waste correctly 16 times (59%) out of 27 times; whereas nursing intern working as assistants disposed waste correctly 6 times (67%) out of 9 times; assistants with

occasional course, disposed waste correctly 4 times (45%) and those who attended politechnical course disposed waste correctly 5 times (56%) out of 9 times and an assistant with senior secondary education disposed waste correctly 23 times (51%) out of 45 times Table-3.

Thus, differences existed in relation to educational qualification of respondents in knowledge and practice scores.

Table-3: Evaluation of dental waste disposal according to qualification of study group

	Biological			Non-Biological			Semi-Biological			Overall True %	Grand Total
Qualification	Correct	Incorrect	Correct %	Correct	Incorrect	Correct %	Correct	Incorrect	Correct %		
5th Std.	2	1	33	3	0	0%	1	2	33%	33%	9
7th Std.	4	2	33	3	3	50	2	4	67	50	18
9th Std.	1	2	67	1	2	67	1	2	67	33	9
BSc Nursing	2	7	78	5	4	44	4	5	56	59	27
GNM	3	6	67	3	6	67	5	4	44	59	27
Intern	1	2	67	0	3	100	2	1	33	67	9
Occupational Course	2	1	33	2	1	33	1	2	67	45	9
Polytechnic	2	1	67	1	2	67	2	1	33	56	9
Senior Secondary	7	8	53	6	9	60	9	6	40	51	45

DISCUSSION

Dental auxillaries form utmost important part of dental treatment as they hold and pass instruments, retract tissues and apply suction to lend a hand in better visualization of the operating field, sterilize instruments and equipments [6]. According to the Bio-medical waste rules 1998 of India, Bio – Medical Waste is defined as “Any solid, fluid or liquid waste, including its container and any intermediate product, which is generated during the diagnosis, treatment or immunization of human beings or animals, in research pertaining there to, or in the production or testing of biological and the animal waste from slaughter houses or any other like establishments” [4]. The rules make it mandatory for the health care establishments to segregate, disinfect and dispose their waste in an eco-friendly way. Improper waste disposal can result in an increased risk of nosocomial infections in patients and can lead to change in microbial ecology [5].

According to management and handling rules (1998, Schedule I) items sent to incinerator/burial,

should be placed in yellow colour bags (e.g., human anatomical waste, microbiological waste, and soiled plastic waste), items that need to be sent for microwave/autoclave/chemical treatment should be placed in red coloured bags (e.g., infected plastic syringes, tubings, gloves, rubber dam sheets), the waste that need to be shredder after autoclaving/ microwaving/chemical treatment is to be placed in blue/white translucent bags/containers (e.g., sharp containers for needles and used files) [7].

Green biomedical waste bag is for pharmaceutical waste which includes non-hazardous pharmaceutical waste and controlled drugs and disposal route involves denaturing if the drugs are controlled then incinerated [8]. The results of the present study showed that the correct disposal of biological waste in red coded dustbin was 57%, non-biological waste in green coded dustbin was 54%, semi biological waste in yellow coded dustbin was 50%. Thus waste was correctly disposed 88 times (54%) out of 162 trials.

Thus, the results of this study show that dental auxiliaries are unaware of the proper protocol of disposal of hazardous waste. According to us, this is the unique study in which practical calibration test had been carried out where as the studies available in the literature are mostly cross sectional surveys. The emphasis should be laid down on attending workshops, training and education programmes concerning handling, segregation, disinfection, storage, transportation and final disposal of biomedical waste in any establishment [9].

Sanjeev R *et al.*, carried out a cross sectional survey in which only 16.3% of the dental healthcare personnel agreed that they had received training in biomedical waste management [1]. Arora R *et al.*, carried a cross sectional study and revealed that attending training or CDE programme about waste management practices has significant influence on knowledge of respondents about waste management guidelines, on application of colour coding practice for disposal of waste and on disposal of amalgam [7]. Due to increased prevalence of diseases like HIV and Hepatitis B in health care workers and other personnel working in health care institutes, the proper waste management is of utmost important [10].

CONCLUSION

Thus, the segregation, collection, transport as well as final disposal of various types of waste and effective training and supervision of various categories of personnel involved in complete waste management system is of utmost important.

REFERENCES

1. Sanjeev, R., Kuruvilla, S., Subramaniam, R., Prashant, P. S., & Gopalakrishnan, M. (2014). Knowledge, attitude, and practices about biomedical waste management among dental healthcare personnel in dental colleges in Kothamangalam: a cross-sectional study. *Health Sciences*, 1(3), 1-12.
2. Naik, R., Sureshchandra, B., Hegde, S., Damda, A., & Malik, M. Best management practices for hazardous dental waste disposal. *Endodontology*, 108-113. <http://medind.nic.in> Last accessed on 13-1-2015.
3. Patil, G. V., & Pokhrel, K. (2005). Biomedical solid waste management in an Indian hospital: a case study. *Waste management*, 25(6), 592-599.
4. Agarwal, B., Kumar, M., Agarwal, S., Singh, A., & Shekhar, A. (2011). Bio medical waste and dentistry. *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*, 5(3):153-155.
5. Hegde, V., Kulkarni, R. D., & Ajantha, G. S. (2007). Biomedical waste management. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology*, 11(1), 5-9.
6. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dental_auxiliary Last accessed on 13-1-2015.
7. Arora, R., Agrawal, A., Singh, D., & Reddy, J. (2014). Management of dental waste in private clinics in Chhattisgarh state, India—A cross sectional study. *Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences*, 13(1), 53-56.
8. <http://www.phswastemanagement.co.uk> Last accessed on 13-1-2015.
9. Kumar, V. C., Manjunatha, M., Vijetha, B., & Pradeep, P. R. (2012). Biomedical Waste Management: A Review. *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*, 6(3):141-144.
10. Sharma, M. (2002). *Hospital waste management and its monitoring*. 1st ed. Jaypee Brothers Publishers.